



Optimizing the defensive characteristics of mild steel via the electrodeposition of Zn–Si₃N₄ reinforcing particles

I.G. Akande ^{a,*}, O.O. Oluwole ^a, O.S.I. Fayomi ^{b,c}

^a Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, Covenant University, ota, ogun state, Nigeria

^c Department of Chemical, Metallurgical and Materials Engineering, Tshwane University of Technology, Pretoria, South Africa

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 September 2018

Received in revised form

30 October 2018

Accepted 2 November 2018

Available online 5 November 2018

Keywords:

Polarization

Corrosion

Microhardness

Coating

Composite

Matrix

ABSTRACT

The effect of Zn–Si₃N₄ deposition prepared via direct electrolytic co-deposition on mild steel was studied as a result its inherent vulnerability to corrosion in an aggressive environment and failure on the application of load. The experiment was conducted varying the mass concentration of silicon nitride (Si₃N₄) between 7 and 13 g at cell voltage of 0.3 and 0.5 V, at constant temperature of 45 °C. The morphologies of the coated surfaces were characterized using high resolution Nikon Optical Microscope and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) revealing that the particles of the Zn–Si₃N₄ were homogeneously dispersed. The corrosion behaviour was studied using potentiodynamic polarization technique in 3.65% NaCl solution and the microhardness was examined using Brinell hardness testing technique. The result of the corrosion experiment confirmed an improved corrosion resistance with a reduction in corrosion rate from 9.7425 mm/year to 0.10847 mm/year, maximum coating efficiency of 98.9%, maximum polarization resistance of 1555.3 Ω and a very low current density of 9.33×10^{-6} A/cm². The negative shift in the E_{corr} revealed the cathodic protective nature of the coating. The microhardness was also found to have increased from 137.9 HBN for the unmodified steel to a maximum value of 263.3 HBN for the 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ coated steel representing 90.9% increment in hardness as a result of the matrix grain refining and dispersion-strengthening ability of the incorporated Si₃N₄ particles.

© 2019 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of China Ordnance Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In recent time, incessant failure of engineering materials have orchestrated the application of coating technology as a reliable defence mechanism in automotive, manufacturing, aerospace and chemical processing industries [1]. Composite coating is of a rare quality, enabling the deposition of insoluble nano-particles of ceramic, metallic and non metallic alloys into electrolytic bath for specified chemical, mechanical and functional properties [2–8]. Metal matrix reinforced with nano particles generally exhibit wide range of engineering applications due to improved hardness and ability to withstand corrosion and wear [9–14]. Zn incorporated nano composite coatings have been confirmed to possess good surface properties which is not unconnected to the strengthening effect of the embedded particles [15]. However, these properties

can be made better through the incorporation of Si₃N₄ nano particles [16]. By virtue of the strength, hardness, good fracture toughness and thermal resistance, silicon nitride based ceramic have been a good choice for structural component application such as ball bearings and cutting tool applications [17–19]. Although attempted codeposition of hard particles such as Al₂O₃, TiO₂, SiC, WC, Cr₃C₂, TiC and diamond on steel had been done focusing more on the improvement of wear resistance [20]. In view of this, Zn nanocomposite coating reinforced with Si₃N₄ particles was developed with some incorporated desired properties. Si₃N₄, being a versatile ceramic was chosen because of its impeccable performance when high strength, high hardness as well as good resistance to thermal shock is required [21–23]. The excellent mechanical properties [24,25] of Silicon nitride ceramic are the reasons for the attraction in numbers of application such as engine components, spacecrafts and high-temperature electronics [26–28].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: aigodwin2015@gmail.com (I.G. Akande).

Peer review under responsibility of China Ordnance Society

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Preparation of substrates

Mild steel of dimension (50 mm × 30 mm × 2 mm) whose chemical composition is shown in Table 1 was used as the cathode substrate and 99.9% zinc plate of (60 mm × 40 mm × 10 mm) were prepared as anodes. The surface of the steel was well polished with emery papers of different grades as recommend in the previously by authors Ref. [29,30]. The samples were surface cleaned by immersion in 0.01 M of sodium carbonate solution at ambient temperature of 25 °C for 10 s. They were pickled and activated with 10% hydrochloric acid at ambient temperature for 10 s, followed by quick rinsing in deionized water.

2.2. Deposition of Zn–Si₃N₄

The bath prepared for the coating process using the parameters in Table 2 was subjected to continuous stirring at 300 rpm and 45 °C constant heating throughout the coating process in order to obtain stability in suspension, avoiding particles' agglomeration. Prevention of agglomeration of particles enhances the mobility electrophoresis of the solution [31]. The agitations of bath do not only keep the Zn–Si₃N₄ particles suspended in the electrolyte but also assist their mass transportation to the cathode surface. Investigations of various researchers have shown that increased agitation generally enhances the amount of particles in the metal deposit. However, too much or immoderate agitation may influence the movement of the electrodes, alter the charge transfer region and more so, result to a lower quantity of particles in the metal deposit [32]. In the plating process, the prepared mild steel being the cathode was positioned in between two zinc plates and connected to the negative terminal of the rectifier in the electrodeposition bath. The zinc (anode) were also immersed and connected to the positive terminal of the rectifier [33,34]. The gap between the cathode and anodes was 3 cm. The cathode was positioned equidistance from the anodes. The pH, deposition time, current density and temperature were kept constant as shown in Table 2 varying the mass concentration of Si₃N₄ and cell voltage. The following reactions occurred between zinc and the base metal during the electrodeposition process

At the anode, $\text{Zn} \rightarrow \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^-$ (Oxidation reaction) (1)

At the cathode, $\text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}$ (Reduction reaction) (2)

Overall Cell reaction, $\text{Zn} + \text{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{Fe}$ (3)

2.3. Characterization of samples and structural test

The surface adhesion and homogeneous dispersion of Zn–Si₃N₄ on the mild steel resulted in the development of remarkable structures. This was examined using high resolution Nikon Optical Microscope and Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The images were taken at 100× and 500× magnifications respectively. Potentiodynamic polarization assessment and Brinell technique at a load

Table 2

Process parameter for Zn–Si₃N₄ sulphate bath formulation.

Composition	Mass concentration
K ₂ SO ₄	50 g/L
ZnSO ₄	130 g/L
NaSO ₄	30 g/L
Thiourea	10 g/L
NaCl	35 g/L
Boric acid	10 g/L
Glycine	10 g/L
Si ₃ N ₄	7/13 g/L
pH	4.5
Current density	2 A/cm ²
Cell Voltage	0.3/0.5 V
Time	15 min
Temp.	45 °C

of 30 g for a period of 20 s were used to characterize corrosion and hardness of the coated and uncoated steels. Measurements of the coating thickness were carried out using Elcometer micro-thickness meter guage (Elcometer 456 Model) with the accuracy of ±1%. The thickness of all the samples were measured at different points and the thickness average were obtained for each of the samples and recorded as shown in Table 3.

2.4. Electrochemical test

A three-electrode cell assembled in a 3.65% NaCl static solution with Autolab PGSTAT 101 Metrohm Potentiostat connected to NOVA 2.1.2 soft ware via computer system was used to examine the anti-corrosion behaviour of the composite coatings at ambient temperature of 25 °C. The steel acted as the working electrode, platinum electrode as the counter electrode and Ag/AgCl was made the reference electrode. Potentiodynamic polarization curves were obtained from cathodic potential of –1.5 V to anodic potential of 1.5 V versus open circuit potential at a sweep rate (scan rate) of 0.005 m/s. This was carried out in similitude to our recent research work [35].

2.5. Mechanism of zinc corrosion in 3.65% NaCl solution

Many researchers have reported zinc hydroxide chloride as corrosion products of zinc in NaCl solution [36–38]. Obviously, zinc hydroxide chloride is one of the corrosion layer compounds formed after immersion in 3.65% NaCl solution. A lot of studies on corrosion behaviour of zinc in NaCl solutions have shown that corrosion of zinc precedes two partial reactions [39,40]. The cathodic reaction in Eq. (4) corresponds to the reduction of oxygen which could leads to a local increase in pH value in the depth of corrosion damages and consequently resulting to the formation of zinc hydroxide chloride in the pits and their neighbourhood areas [41]. The anodic reaction involves the dissolution of zinc as shown by Eq. (5). Expectedly, zinc cation and the hydroxide anion react to form zinc hydroxide presented by Eq. (6). At the active cathodic site, zincate ions is produced as indicated by Eq. (7) provided the pH is large enough. In the presence of Sodium Chloride, Chloride ions (Cl[–]) migrate to the anodic site where Zinc hydroxide chloride is formed as shown in Eq. (8)


Table 1

Chemical composition of the mild steel used.

Element	C	Mn	Si	P	Cu	S	Ni	Cr	Fe
Wt %	0.210	0.468	0.156	0.026	0.007	0.023	0.004	0.021	Balance

Table 3
Itinerary parameters of Zn–Si₃N₄ alloy co-deposition.

Samples	Time/min	Coating thickness/ μm	Weight gained/g	Coating per unit area/(mg·mm ⁻²)
Control	—	—	—	—
0.3Zn–7Si ₃ N ₄	15	138.2	0.11	0.073333
0.5 Zn–7Si ₃ N ₄	15	169.3	0.43	0.286667
0.3 Zn–13Si ₃ N ₄	15	166.1	0.37	0.246667
0.5Zn–13Si ₃ N ₄	15	175.7	0.48	0.320000



3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural analysis of uncoated and Zn–Si₃N₄ deposited mild steel

The Optical micrographs of the uncoated mild steel, Zn–Si₃N₄ coated at 7 %w and 13 %w are shown in Figs. 1–3 respectively. From the structural characterization, as expected, the uncoated mild steel surface as shown in Fig. 1 looked rough exhibits the inherent pitting corrosion initiation tendency [42,43]. On the other hand, several crystal growths was seen to have developed on the interface of the coated mild steels in Figs. 2 and 3 exhibiting a defect free surface. With the 7 %w in Fig. 2(a) and 2(b), though Fig. 2(a) appears smoother but visible flake-like crystalline pattern were seen at the general interface, which are more obvious in Fig. 2(b). The unique microstructure displayed by the composite can be linked to the inclusion and homogeneous dispersion of Si₃N₄ nanoparticles in the Zn matrix, promoting the increase in number of nucleation site and impeding crystal growth, resulting in the generation of small nano-sized metal grains [15,44].

In the same vein, the 13 %w coated mild steel shown in Fig. 3(c)




Fig. 1. Optical micrograph of uncoated mild steel

and d exhibit similar structures as the 7 %w coated but appeared relatively smoother. It is worthy of note that the 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ coated steel has the smoothest structure compare to all other coated samples as revealed by the optical microscope. This might be attributed to the increase in mass concentration of Si₃N₄ nanoparticle, minimising the formation of flake-like crystalline pattern. It has been reported that, increasing mass concentration of particles increase the coating thickness leading to less coarse surfaces [45]. However, it is worth mentioning that the process parameters can influence the surface texture which may alter the performance and life span of the coating [44].

SEM micrographs Zn–Si₃N₄ coated mild steel at 7%w and 13%w are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 SEM images of the samples show that the pitting evolution at the interface was apparently invisible. Good surface topography, morphological and coverage were observed. The well dispersed quality of the coated surface hindered the ingress of the corrosive ion into the metallic interface. The surface of the sample shown in Figs. 4(b) and 5(c) appeared rougher, which is in unison with the images of Optical micrograph.

The SEM images, in accordance with the work of author Ref. [46] reveal that the zinc interface clearly displayed nodular structures on the coating network with redefined morphology making it look alluring. Expectedly, the path of nucleation started from the zinc metal as load carrier; the dissemination of the particulates involves the nucleation domains and therefore improving the formed nanocomposites. It is also worthy of note that the SEM micrographs reveal images with low porosity.

3.2. Electrochemical test result of Zn–Si₃N₄ coated and uncoated mild steel

Potentiodynamic polarization test performed on Zn–Si₃N₄ coated steel confirmed its ability to resist corrosion in 3.65% NaCl solution. The result of the corrosion experiment obtained from the extrapolation of Tafel curve shown in Fig. 6 confirmed an improved corrosion resistance with a reduction in corrosion rate from 9.7425 mm/year to 0.10847 mm/year as indicated in Table 4, maximum polarization resistance of 1555.3 Ω and a very low current density of 9.33×10^{-6} A/cm². This shows that the coating blocked the active sites of the modified steel impeding the exchange of current. The coatings were able to act as barrier, therefore minimising the cathodic evolution and anodic metal dissolution reactions of the mild steel [47,48]. The presence of Si₃N₄ nanoparticles decreases the concentration of chloride ion, resulting in a lower current density in the charge transfer controlled and mixed potential region. At the mixed potential region, the value of corrosion potential depends on the rate of both the cathodic as well as anodic reaction. The rate of the charge transfer reaction at the interface depends not only on the applied potential but also on the concentration of reacting species prevailing at the electrode surface [49].

From the results obtained, all the corrosion parameters favoured 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ coated sample. This may be as a result of the increased concentration of Si₃N₄ leading to the reduction of the adsorption of chloride ion in aggressive environment [50,51]. It can




Fig. 2. Optical micrographs of (a) 0.3 Zn–7Si₃N₄ coated mild steel and (b) 0.5 Zn–7Si₃N₄ coated mild steel.




Fig. 3. Optical micrographs of (c) 0.3 Zn–13Si₃N₄ coated mild steel and (d) 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ coated mild steel.




Fig. 4. SEM micrographs of (a) 0.3 Zn–7Si₃N₄ coated mild steel and (b) 0.5Zn–7Si₃N₄ coated mild steel.

also be traced to the nature and adhesiveness of the passive film produced by 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ on the surface of the coated steel or chemical stability of the samples [52]. The negative shift in the E_{corr} confirms the cathodic protective nature of the coating [53,54]. Generally, Zn–Si₃N₄ was found to have reduced the current density of all the coated samples. The reduction in current densities could be attributed to blockage of the active sites of the steel by the Zn–

Si₃N₄ particles.

Fig. 7 shows the OCP versus time curves for the uncoated and Zn–Si₃N₄ coated steel in 3.65% NaCl static solution. Carefully examining the OCP vs. time curves, it can be seen that the presences Zn–Si₃N₄ shifted the potential of the steady-state to more negative values. The notable shift is attributed to the predominant cathodic effect of Si₃N₄ on the mild steel indicating the cathodic reaction is




Fig. 5. SEM micrographs (c) 0.3 Zn– 13Si₃N₄ coated mild steel and (d) 0.5Zn– 13Si₃N₄ coated mild steel.




Fig. 6. Potentiodynamic Polarization curves for uncoated and Zn–Si₃N₄ Composite coated mild steel in 3.65% NaCl medium.

Table 4

Potentiodynamic Polarization parameters for uncoated and Zn– Si₃N₄ Composite coated mild steel in 3.65% NaCl medium.

Samples	E_{corr}/V	$j_{corr}/(A \cdot cm^{-2})$	CR/year	PR/ Ω
Control	−0.906982	8.38E-04	9.74250	95.580
0.3Zn–7Si ₃ N ₄	−1.106870	2.35E-05	0.27307	184.360
0.5Zn–7Si ₃ N ₄	−1.460570	1.61E-05	0.18703	432.890
0.3Zn–13Si ₃ N ₄	−1.481630	4.28E-05	0.49728	992.892
0.5Zn–13Si ₃ N ₄	−1.362300	9.33E-06	0.10847	1555.300




Fig. 7. Evolution of open circuit potential (OCP) vs. exposure time for the uncoated and Zn– Si₃N₄ Composite coated mild steel in 3.65% NaCl medium.

relatively more affected than the anodic reaction [1,51]. It is important to note that the OCP vs. time curve for the coated and uncoated samples were near straight line indicating that steady state potential was attained [54].

3.3. Coating efficiency

The percentage coating efficiency (% CE) was calculated using equation (9) [55–59].

$$C.E\% = 1 - \frac{j_{corr}}{j_{ocorr}} \times 100 \quad (9)$$

j_{corr} , the corrosion current densities for the coated samples and j_{ocorr} , corrosion current density for the uncoated sample. It can be seen in Fig. 8 that 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ coated steel exhibits the highest coating efficiency of 98.9%. This might be due to the higher concentration of Si₃N₄ and the value of cell voltage, thereby influencing the increase in deposition of the particles leading to higher value of thickness, 175.7 μ m and effective covering of the steel, preventing the ingress of chloride ion [45,51]. Generally the coating efficiency of Zn–Si₃N₄ was found to be on a high side with the minimum value of 94.9%.

3.4. Microhardness analysis of Zn–Si₃N₄ coated and uncoated samples

The microhardness results obtained for the Zn–Si₃N₄ coated and uncoated steel were displayed in the chart in Fig. 9. These values were gotten using the Brinell hardness test technique. This is in accordance to ASTM A-370 [60]. Upon comparison, 0.5Zn–15-Si₃N₄ has the highest hardness value of 263.3 BHN. This represents 90.9% increment in the hardness compared to the unmodified mild steel.




Fig. 8. Coating efficiency of Zn–Si₃N₄.




Fig. 9. Brinell hardness value for Zn–Si₃N₄ coated and uncoated samples.

In general, the hardness value for all the coated samples with varying additives showed an increase. The improvement in microhardness could be attributed to the formation of adhesive mechanism of the composite coating on the substrate sample, strain energy imposed by the particles in the matrix on the periphery of the composite coated steel and operating factors such as bath constituents and other processing parameters [61–63].

4. Conclusions

- (1) Zn–Si₃N₄ nanocomposite coatings have been successfully produced.
- (2) The modified mild steel displayed strong strengthening behaviour with maximum hardness value of 263.3 HBN which amounts to 90.9% increment in hardness compared to the unmodified steel
- (3) 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ proved to be the optimum bath loading for improved corrosion resistance, hardness and better surface structure.
- (4) The result of the corrosion experiment on 0.5Zn–13Si₃N₄ coated mild steel confirmed an improved corrosion resistance with a reduction in corrosion rate from 9.7425 mm/year to 0.10847 mm/year, coating efficiency of 98.9%, polarization resistance of 1555.3 Ω and a very low current density of 9.33×10^{-6} A/cm².
- (5) The negative shift in the E_{corr} reveals the predominant cathodic protective nature of the coating.

Acknowledgments

This is to acknowledge Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Ibadan for the P.hD opportunity. Prof. Fayomi Ojo Isaac Sunday of Surface Engineering Research Centre, Covenant University Ota, Nigeria is deeply appreciated for the provision of laboratory facilities and technical advice.

References

- [1] Kasturibai S, Kalaighan GP. Pulse electrodeposition and corrosion properties of Ni–Si₃N₄ nanocomposite coatings. *Bull Mater Sci* 2014;37:721–8.
- [2] Gomes A, Frade T, Nogueira ID. Morphological characterization of Zn-based nanostructured thin films. *Current Microsc Contrib Adv Sci Technol* 2012;2: 1146.
- [3] Fayomi OSI, Abdulwahab M, Popoola API. Properties evaluation of ternary surfactant-induced Zn–Ni–Al₂O₃ films on mild steel by electrolytic chemical deposition. *J Ovonic Res* 2013;9:123–32.
- [4] Aal AA, Barakat MA, Mohamed RM. Electrophoretic Zn–TiO₂–ZnO nanocomposite coating films for photocatalytic degradation of 2-chlorophenol. *Appl Surf Sci* 2008;254:4577–83.
- [5] Su YL, Kao WH. Tribological behaviour and wear mechanism of MoS₂–Cr coatings sliding against various counterbody. *Tribol Int* 2003;36:11–23.
- [6] Dong D, Chen XH, Xiao WT, Yang GB, Zhang PY. Preparation and properties of electroless Ni–P–SiO₂ composite coatings. *Appl Surf Sci* 2009;255:7051–5.
- [7] Popoola API, Fayomi OSI, Popoola MO. Electrochemical and mechanical properties of mild steel electro-plated with Zn–Al. *Int J Electrochem Sci*

- 2012;7:4898–917.
- [8] Popoola API, Fayomi OSI. Effect of some process variables on zinc coated low carbon steel substrates. *Sci Res Essays* 2011;6(20):4264–72.
- [9] Xia F, Tian J, Ma C, Potts M, Guo X. Effect of pulse frequency on microstructural, nanomechanical, and wear properties of electrodeposited Ni–TiN composite coatings. *J Appl Phys* 2014;116:234302.
- [10] Ma C, Wu F, Ning Y, Xia F, Liu Y. Effect of heat treatment on structures and corrosion characteristics of electroless Ni–P–SiC nanocomposite coatings. *Ceram Int* 2014;40:9279–84.
- [11] Xia F, Liu C, Ma C, Chu D, Miao L. Preparation and corrosion behavior of electrodeposited Ni–TiN composite coatings. *Int J Refract Metals Hard Mater* 2012;35:295–9.
- [12] Zhu XB, Cai C, Zheng GQ, Zhang Z, Li JF. Electrodeposition and corrosion behavior of nanostructured Ni–TiN composite films. *Trans Nonferrous Metals Soc China* 2011;21:2216–24.
- [13] Kumar KA, Mohan P, Kalaighan GP, Muralidharan VS. Electrodeposition and characterization of Ni–ZrO₂ nanocomposites by direct and pulse current methods. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol* 2012;12:8364–71.
- [14] Cygan T, Wozniak J, Kostecki M, Adamczyk-Cieslak B, Olszyna A. Influence of graphene addition and sintering temperature on physical properties of Si₃N₄ matrix composites. *Int J Refract Metals Hard Mater* 2016;57:19–23.
- [15] Malatji N, Popoola AP, Fayomi OS, Loto CA. Multifaceted incorporation of Zn–Al₂O₃/Cr₂O₃/SiO₂ nanocomposite coatings: anti-corrosion, tribological, and thermal stability. *Int J Adv Manuf Technol* 2016;82(5–8):1335–41.
- [16] Sedmale G, Steins I, Zalite I, Mezinskis G. Microstructure and properties of mullite–ZrO₂ ceramics with silicon nitride additive prepared by spark plasma sintering. *Ceram Int* 2016;42:3745–50.
- [17] Maros M, Németh AK, Károly Z, Bódis E, Maros Z, Tapasztó O, Balázs K. Tribological characterisation of silicon nitride/multilayer graphene nanocomposites produced by HIP and SPS technology. *Tribol Int* 2016;93:269–81.
- [18] Yu JJ, Guo WM, Zeng LY, Lin HT. Effect of SiO₂ addition on Si₃N₄ ceramics prepared by rapid nitridation and post-sintering route. *Ceram Int* 2017;43: 13901–6.
- [19] Logesh G, Lodhe M, Balasubramanian M. Effect of temperature and gaseous medium on the evolved microstructures of carbon fiber reinforced reaction bonded silicon nitride composites. *Ceram Int* 2017;43:6110–6.
- [20] Surender M, Basu B, Balasubramanian R. Wear characterization of electrodeposited Ni–WC composite coatings. *Tribol Int* 2004;37:743–9.
- [21] Lu HH, Huang JL. Effect of Y₂O₃ and Yb₂O₃ on the microstructure and mechanical properties of silicon nitride. *Ceram Int* 2001;27(6):621–8.
- [22] Lee CH, Lu HH, Wang CA, Nayak PK, Huang JL. Effect of heating rate on spark plasma sintering of a nanosized β -Si₃N₄-based powder. *J Am Ceram Soc* 2011;4(4):1182–90.
- [23] Yang Y, Li B, Zhang C, Wang S, Liu K, Yang B. Fabrication and properties of graphene reinforced silicon nitride composite materials. *Mater Sci Eng* 2015;644:90–5.
- [24] Bocanegra-Bernal MH, Matovic B. Mechanical properties of silicon nitride-based ceramics and its use in structural applications at high temperatures. *Mater Sci Eng* 2010;527(6):1314–38.
- [25] Liu CC, Huang JL. Tribological characteristics of Si₃N₄-based composites in unlubricated sliding against steel ball. *Mater Sci Eng* 2004;384(1–2): 299–307.
- [26] Greskovich C, Prochazka S. Stability of Si₃N₄ and liquid phase (s) during sintering. *J Am Ceram Soc* 1981;64(7):C96–7.
- [27] Riley FL. Silicon nitride and related materials. *J Am Ceram Soc* 2000;83(2): 245–65.
- [28] Zou C, Zhang C, Li B, Wang S, Cao F. Microstructure and properties of porous silicon nitride ceramics prepared by gel-casting and gas pressure sintering. *Mater Des* 2013;44:114–8.
- [29] Anawe PA, Raji O, Fayomi OS. Evaluation of responses from electrolytic multilayer hybrid coating for extended application. *Procedia Manuf* 2017;7: 562–6.
- [30] Shibli SM, Chacko F, Divya C. Al₂O₃–ZrO₂ mixed oxide composite incorporated aluminium rich zinc coatings for high wear resistance. *Corrosion Sci* 2010;52: 518–25.
- [31] Daniyan AA, Umoru LE, Fayomi OS. Structural evolution, optoelectrical and corrosion properties of electrodeposited WO₃ integration on Zn–TiO₂ electrolyte for defence super application. *Defence Technol* 2018;1–7.
- [32] Low CT, Wills RG, Walsh FC. Electrodeposition of composite coatings containing nanoparticles in a metal deposit. *Surf Coating Technol* 2006;12(1–2): 371–83, 201.
- [33] Achi SS. Basic principles of coating technology. Shemang Graphics; 2003. p. 1–2. Zaria Nigeria.
- [34] Fayomi OSI, Abdulwahab M. Property's evaluation of ternary surfactant-induced Zn–Ni–Al₂O₃ films on mild steel by electrolytic chemical deposition. *J Ovonic Res* 2013;5:123–32.
- [35] Fayomi OSI, Akande IG, Popoola API. Corrosion protection effect of chitosan on the performance characteristics of A6063 alloy. *J Bio Tribo Corrosion* 2018;4: 73.
- [36] Hosking NC, Ström MA, Shipway PH, Rudd CD. Corrosion resistance of zinc–magnesium coated steel. *Corrosion Sci* 2007;49(9):3669–95.
- [37] Boshkov N. Galvanic Zn–Mn alloys—electrodeposition, phase composition, corrosion behaviour and protective ability. *Surf Coating Technol* 2003;172(2–3):217–26.
- [38] Mouanga M, Berçot P, Rauch JY. Comparison of corrosion behaviour of zinc in

- NaCl and in NaOH solutions. Part I: corrosion layer characterization. *Corrosion Sci* 2010;52(12):3984–92.
- [39] Mouanga M, Ricq L, Douglade J, Berçot P. Corrosion behaviour of zinc deposits obtained under pulse current electrodeposition: effects of coumarin as additive. *Corrosion Sci* 2009;51(3):690–8.
- [40] Qu Q, Li L, Bai W, Yan C, Cao CN. Effects of NaCl and NH₄Cl on the initial atmospheric corrosion of zinc. *Corrosion Sci* 2005;47(11):2832–40.
- [41] Boshkov N, Petrov K, Vitkova S, Nemska S, Raichevsky G. Composition of the corrosion products of galvanic alloys Zn–Co and their influence on the protective ability. *Surf Coating Technol* 2002;157(2–3):171–8.
- [42] El Wanees SA, Radwan AB, Alsharif MA, El Haleem SA. Initiation and inhibition of pitting corrosion on reinforcing steel under natural corrosion conditions. *Mater Chem Phys* 2017;190:79–95.
- [43] Xiang Y, Li C, Hesitao W, Long Z, Yan W. Understanding the pitting corrosion mechanism of pipeline steel in an impure supercritical CO₂ environment. *J Supercrit Fluids* 2018;138:132–42.
- [44] Anawe PA, Raji O, Fayomi OS, Efeovbohkan VE. Influence of composite nano coating on ternary sulphate Co-deposition: corrosion and surface characterization. *Procedia Manuf* 2017;7:556–61.
- [45] Wang TG, Jeong D, Liu Y, Wang Q, Iyengar S, Melin S, Kim KH. Study on nanocrystalline Cr₂O₃ films deposited by arc ion plating: II. Mechanical and tribological properties. *Surf Coating Technol* 2012;206(10):2638–44.
- [46] Fayomi OSI, Atayero AA, Mubiaye P, Akande IG, Adewuyi PA, Fajobi MA, Ayara WA. Mechanical and opto-electrical response of embedded smart composite coating produced via electrodeposition technique for embedded system in defence application. *J Alloy Comp* 2019;773:305–13.
- [47] Dutta A, Saha SK, Adhikari U, Banerjee P, Sukul D. Effect of substitution on corrosion inhibition properties of 2-(substituted phenyl) benzimidazole derivatives on mild steel in 1 M HCl solution: a combined experimental and theoretical approach. *Corrosion Sci* 2017;123:256–66.
- [48] Rossrucker L, Samaniego A, Grote JP, Mingers AM, Laska CA, Birbilis N, Frankel GS, Mayrhofer KJ. The pH dependence of magnesium dissolution and hydrogen evolution during anodic polarization. *J Electrochem Soc* 2015;7:333–9.
- [49] Frankel GS. Fundamentals of corrosion kinetics. In: *Active protective coatings*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2016. p. 17–32.
- [50] Fayomi OSI, Akande IG, Oluwale OO, Daramola D. Effect of water soluble chitosan on the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of mild steel. *Chem Data Collect* 2018;17–18:321–6.
- [51] Khorsand S, Raeissi K, Ashrafzadeh F. Corrosion resistance and long-term durability of super-hydrophobic nickel film prepared by electrodeposition process. *Appl Surf Sci* 2014;305:498–505.
- [52] Popoola API, Daniyan AA, Umoru LE, Fayomi OSI. Effect of WO₃ nanoparticles loading on the microstructural, mechanical and corrosion resistance of Zn matrix/TiO₂-WO₃ nanocomposite coatings for marine application. *J Mar Sci Appl* 2017;16. 1389–1387.
- [53] Benabid S, Douadi T, Issaadi S, Penverne C, Chafaa S. Electrochemical and DFT studies of a new synthesized Schiff base as corrosion inhibitor in 1 M HCl. *Measurement* 2017;99:53–63.
- [54] Gupta RK, Malviya M, Verma C, Quraishi MA. Aminoazobenzene and diaminoazobenzene functionalized graphene oxides as novel class of corrosion inhibitors for mild steel: experimental and DFT studies. *Mater Chem Phys* 2017;198:360–73.
- [55] Haque J, Verma C, Srivastava V, Quraishi MA, Ebenso EE. Experimental and quantum chemical studies of functionalized tetrahydropyridines as corrosion inhibitors for mild steel in 1 M hydrochloric acid. *Results Phys* 2018;9:1481–93.
- [56] Zakaria K, Negm NA, Khamis EA, Badr EA. Electrochemical and quantum chemical studies on carbon steel corrosion protection in 1 M H₂SO₄ using new eco-friendly Schiff base metal complexes. *J Taiwan Inst Chem Eng* 2016;61:316–26.
- [57] Dohare P, Chauhan DS, Hammouti B, Quraishi MA. Experimental and DFT investigation on the corrosion inhibition behavior of expired drug lumerax on mild steel in hydrochloric acid. *Anal Bioanal Electrochem* 2017;9:762.
- [58] Anejjar A, El Mouden OI, Batah A, Bouskri A, Rjoub A. Corrosion inhibition potential of ascorbic acid on carbon steel in acid media. *Appl J Environ Eng Sci*;3:3–1.
- [59] Yang SF, Wen Y, Yi P, Xiao K, Dong CF. Effects of chitosan inhibitor on the electrochemical corrosion behavior of 2205 duplex stainless steel. *Int J Miner Metall, Mater* 2017;24:1260–6.
- [60] Soares H, Zucarelli T, Vieira M, Freitas M, Reis L. Experimental characterization of the mechanical properties of railway wheels manufactured using class B material. *Procedia Struct Integr* 2016;1:265–72.
- [61] Wang X, Chan HL, Choy CL. Piezoelectric and dielectric properties of CeO₂-added (Bi_{0.5}Na_{0.5})_{0.94}Ba_{0.06}TiO₃ lead-free ceramics. *Solid State Commun* 2003;8:395–9.
- [62] Subasri R, Shinohara T, Mori K. Modified TiO₂ coatings for cathodic protection applications. *Sci Technol Adv Mater* 2005;5:501.
- [63] Rusu DE, Ispas A, Bund A, Gheorghies C, Carac G. Corrosion tests of nickel coatings prepared from a Watts-type bath. *J Coating Technol Res* 2012;1:87–95.